

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,441	09/30/2003	John Connor	BUR920030072US1	2440
21918	7590 03/23/2005		EXAMINER	
DOWNS RACHLIN MARTIN PLLC			LEJA, RONALD W	
199 MAIN ST				
P O BOX 190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BURLINGTO	N, VT 05402-0190		2836	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/605,441	CONNOR ET AL.	(ler				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ronald W. Leja	2836					
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	S				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' II, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>04 January 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b	n)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15,17 and 19-21 is/are pendan 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15,17 and 19-21 is/are rejection of the complex control of the control o	e withdrawn from consideration. ected. on and/or election requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objecti							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the same of the			• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in April the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stag	je				
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	D-948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152))				

Application/Control Number: 10/605,441

Art Unit: 2836

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 8-10, 13-15, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Strauss (5,559,659).

Strauss discloses in Fig. 2 an ESD protection circuit having one or more inverters (206), (207), a timing element (203), (204) (an RC network for Claims 3, 4, 9, 14 and 15) for triggering said one or more inverters and having an output node (205) (see also Col. 3, lines 13-15 and 25-27), a clamping circuit (210) and a feedback device (208). For Claims 1, 2, 13 and 17, see Col. 2, lines 44-55.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of

Application/Control Number: 10/605,441

Art Unit: 2836

each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 7, 12, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strauss.

Claims 7, 12 and 21 add that the number of inverters comprise at least three inverters. Strauss discloses in Col. 3, lines 6-25, that any number of inverters can be used. Therefore, it would have been obvious to include four inverters (to keep the even number) with the feedback element (208) being in communication with the output of the last inverter and the output node (205) of the timing element, as a means to adjust the delay or the conduction state of the clamping element as needed for the desired level of anticipated ESD protection.

5. Claims 6, 11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strauss in view of Wu et al. (6,552,886).

Claims 6, 11 and 20 add that the inverters include a PFET and an NFET. It is well known in the art that inverters can comprises the combination of a PFET and an NFET, but Strauss does not show such details of the inverters. However, Wu et al. clearly teach that the inverters can comprise a PFET and an NFET. It would have been obvious to implement inverters as a PFET with an NFET as a means to help integrate the design as CMOS as opposed to combination-type technologies, such as, BICMOS, thereby lending the design to ease manufacturing and therefore lower fabrication costs.

Art Unit: 2836

6. The Prior Art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. Huber (6,434,061) has been cited as a matter of interest.

7. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 1/4/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-21 under Wu et al. (6,552,886) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection has been made, supra.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald W. Leja whose telephone number is (571)272-2053. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571)272-2800. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ronald W Leja/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2836

rwl March 14, 2005